UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/721,493 11/25/2003 | | Manfred Rimkus | 09038-US | 6046 |
| 30689 DEERE & CON | 7590 07/28/200 MPANY | EXAMINER | | |
| ONE JOHN DE | | HAMO, PATRICK | | |
| MOLINE, IL 6 | 1203 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3746 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/28/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------|--|--|
| 10/721,493 | RIMKUS ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| PATRICK HAMO | 3746 | | |

| | PATRICK HAMO | 3746 | |
|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>11 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL | LOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Anno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | g date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | of the fee. The approprion of the fee. The appropriation of the final Office of the final Office of the feet appropriate the feet appropriate of the f | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | out prior to the data of filing a brief | will not be entered be | 201100 |
| (a) \boxtimes They raise new issues that would require further cor | | | cause |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | | i L below), | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett | ** | ducina or simplifvina t | ne issues for |
| appeal; and/or | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: The added limitation that the engine speed | l can be varied "over a broad range | of engine speed con | ditions" does not |
| significantly broaden the scope of the claim but doe | | | |
| <u>search of other references that may now be more i</u> 41.33(a)). | relevant to the patentability of the c | <i>laim.</i> . (See 37 CFR 1. | 116 and |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | - |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fail see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | s to provide a). |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | L NOT I W W W | 190 6 19 | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application in | i condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Devon C Kramer/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3746 | | | |
| | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.Part of Paper No. 20080722